

CS 481 Group Design Project

End of Semester Evaluation By Project Sponsor

When evaluating team and individual performance in this course it is important for me to include the project sponsor's perspective. As the sponsor of this project, I would very much appreciate receiving your opinions regarding how the team performed on your project. To do this, complete this form and return it to me.

Part I. Project and product evaluation. Please react to each statement by marking the box that best represents your agreement or disagreement with the statement

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
The team completely understood the requirements and worked toward implementing them.					
The team's design was a correct and efficient architectural solution to the problem.					
The release demonstrations were well organized and useful.					
I was impressed with the functionality of the final system (the last system you saw).					
The final system will be useful to me as delivered or will be a solid basis for future enhancement .					
The final system satisfied all the customer expectations.					
The final system satisfied all the customer requirements.					
The number and frequency of interactions with the team were sufficient.					
The team was pleasant and easy to work with.					

In the space below please estimate how complete the final product is relative to your original requirements and expectations. Express your estimate as a percentage, i.e., if it is everything you wanted, write 100%. If the product exceeded your expectations, please identify the specific items that you hadn't expected to receive. If the product did not fully meet your expectations, please describe what was lacking.

Part II. Team Performance. Please rate the team's performance on each of the following items using the 1 to 5 scale shown at the bottom of the page.

Criteria	Rating
Requirements engineering. Developing a complete understanding and definition of the project	
Working with the project sponsor	
Timeliness and effectiveness of communication with the project sponsor	
Responsiveness to sponsor requests and/or directions	
Project web site	
System architecture and design	
Product code and commenting	
Product testing (robustness and completeness)	
Documentation quality and completeness	
Ability to function as a team	
Demonstrated leadership, self direction, and motivation	
Initiative	

Performance Rating: 1 = Consistently poor performance / below expectations
 2 = Frequently performed below expectation
 3 = Consistently met normal performance expectations
 4 = Frequently exceeded performance expectations
 5 = Consistently exceeded & often delivered superior / outstanding performance
 N/A = Not Applicable

Part III. Additional Comments: Please feel free to comment on any aspect of the team's performance or on that of any individual team member.