- Why do we need clock synchronization?
  - process coordination
    - » e.g. real-time process control systems require that accurate timestamps be assigned to sensor values to aid in correct data interpretation.
  - performance monitoring
    - » e.g. performance statistics based on elapsed time
  - deadline detection
    - » e.g. determination if deadlines have been violated
  - distributed agreement
    - » e.g. assumed loose synchronization for atomic broadcast

- Clocks
  - atomic clocks
    - » extremely accurate
    - » but: too expensive, too big, too unreliable and complex
  - crystal oscillator
    - » typical computer clock
    - » small, cheap, simple
    - » fairly reliable with fail rates of about 10<sup>-6</sup>/h
    - » accuracy of  $10^{-5}$  to  $10^{-6}$ 
      - resulting in drifts of 1 to 10 μs/s
      - 3.6 to 36 ms/h
  - clocks based on power-line frequency
    - » power grid in the Northern US typically drifts 4 to 6 seconds from real time over the course of an evening

#### Synchronization

- external synchronization
  - » maintain processor clock within some given maximum derivation from a time reference external to the system
- internal synchronization
  - » keep processor clocks synchronized within some maximum relative derivation of each other

#### Definitions

- "Understanding Protocols for Byzantine Clock Synchronization", Fred Schneider, 87-859, Aug. 1987, CS Dept., Cornell University.
- Real-Time (t)
  - » unobservable -- can only be approximated
  - » "observes" events of different processors
    - observes and records all events
    - all observation delays are identical, i.e. there is no time skew
    - all events are immediately time-stamped, i.e. there is no processing delay
- Real-Clock  $C_p(t)$  of processor p
  - » function mapping real time *t* into a clock reading  $C_p(t)$
  - » thus  $C_p(t)$  is the value of the clock in processor p at real time t

- $C_p(t)$  is characterized by  $\mu$ ,  $\rho$  and  $\kappa$ 
  - » constant  $\mu$ : defines the range of initial values
    - hardware initial value

 $0 \le C_p(0) \le \mu$ 

- » constant  $\kappa$  is the interval between ticks, i.e. the length of a tick, also defining the granularity
- » constant  $\rho$  is the upper bound on the clock drift rate
- » thus at each tick a clock is incremented (advanced) by a varying real number value *v*, with

$$(1-\rho)\kappa \leq v \leq (1+\rho)\kappa$$

» hardware rate

$$0 < (1 - \rho) \le \frac{C_p(t + \kappa) - C_p(t)}{\kappa} \le (1 + \rho) \text{ for } 0 \le t$$

- physical clock
  - » hardware clock
  - » simple counter
  - » constant rate (+/-  $\rho$ )
  - » no correction mode (independent of protocol)
  - » source of clock drift
- virtual clock  $\hat{C}_p$ 
  - » clock synchronization protocols implement virtual clocks  $\hat{C}_p$  at each processor *p*.
  - » can be started, stopped, corrected

» mapping

$$t \to C_p(t) \to \hat{C}_p(t)$$

*t*: real time  $C_p(t)$ : hardware clock reading at *t*  $\hat{C}_p(t)$ : virtual clock reading at *t* 

- » Similarly to real clocks virtual clocks have
  - $\hat{\mu}$  defines the range of initial values
  - $\hat{K}$  is the tick length, may be >> K
  - ${\scriptstyle \blacksquare}\,\hat{\rho}\,$  is the upper bound on the clock drift rate

#### Objective

- Virtual Synchronization
  - » given two processors p and q

$$|\hat{C}_q(t) - \hat{C}_p(t)| \le \hat{\delta}$$
(2.3)

» here  $\hat{\delta}$  defines how close the virtual clocks are synchronized

- Virtual Rate

$$0 < (1 - \hat{\rho}) \le \frac{\hat{C}_p(t + \hat{\kappa}) - \hat{C}_p(t)}{\hat{\kappa}} \le (1 + \hat{\rho}) \text{ for } 0 \le t$$
(2.4)

### Reliable Time Source (RTS)

- a mechanism which periodically makes the "correct" time available to all processors so that all processors can adjust their local virtual clock to the RTS.
- requirements
  - » time is distributed frequently enough
  - » processor clocks will not drift too far apart in the interval between adjustment
  - » no processor has to adjust its clock by too much
  - » the adjustment can be spread over the interval that precedes the next resynchronization
- if these requirements can be met, a reliable time source can solve the synchronization problem.

### RTS Definitions

- RTS is periodic
  - » sequence of events at real times

$$t_{RTS}^{1}, t_{RTS}^{2}, t_{RTS}^{3}, \dots$$

– Periods are stable within a fixed bound. i.e.

$$r_{\min} \leq r_{period} \leq r_{\max}$$

where  $r_{\min}$  and  $r_{\max}$  are constants

-  $t_p^i$  is the real-time at which processor p detects event  $t_{RTS}^i$ 

- For a process to qualify as an RTS is must satisfy the following conditions:
  - RTS1:
    - » *bounded period*: a reliable time source generates a sequence of events at real times such that

$$(t_{RTS}^1 = 0) \land (\forall i: 0 < i: r_{\min} \le t_{RTS}^{i+1} - t_{RTS}^i \le r_{\max})$$

» bounded reading: the real time at which a processor p detects the event produced at  $t_{RTS}^i$  satisfies

$$(t_P^1 = 0) \land (\forall i: 1 \le i: 0 \le t_p^i - t_{RTS}^i \le \beta)$$

where  $\beta$  is a constant.

» the first part in the above terms indicate that protocol & clocks start at real time 0

- RTS2:
  - » Real Time Source provides a useful value to all non-faulty processors to be used for correction, i.e.

At  $t_p^i$  processor p obtains a value  $V_p^i$  that can be used in adjusting  $\hat{C}_p$  consistent with (2.3) and (2.4)

- Note: it is not implied that the correct time is always available to processors, but it is available periodically
- RTS1 and RTS2 can be easily implemented using a single clock, but this clock is a single-point-of-failure
  - » RTS1 achieved by using individual processor clocks to signal periodic resynchronization event
  - » RTS2 achieved by each processor producing fault-tolerant average, e.g. median value.

- Resetting a virtual clock  $\hat{C}_p$ 
  - Typically "adjusting" a clock can be thought of as "resetting".
  - At real time 0, a processor uses virtual clock  $\hat{C}_p^1$ and starts a new virtual clock  $\hat{C}_p^{i+1}$  at real time  $t_p^{i+1}$  after detecting  $t_{RTS}^{i+1}$
  - Thus in interval  $t_p^i \le t < t_p^{i+1}$  we have  $\hat{C}_p(t) = \hat{C}_p^i(t)$

- Implementing virtual clock  $\hat{C}_{p}^{i}$ 
  - At processor p take hardware clock  $C_p$  and add adjustment value resulting from clock synchronization protocol, i.e.

$$\hat{C}_p^i(t) \equiv C_p(t) + FIX_p^i(C_p(t))$$

- here  $FIX_p^i(C_p(t)) = FIX_p^i(T)$  is a correction function.
  - » note: *T* is the clock time, whereas *t* is the real-time
  - » need to implement a "smooth" correction function to avoid big jumps in  $\hat{C}_p^i$ , i.e. to not violate the virtual rate (2.4)

- $FIX_p^i(T)$  spreads any change in its correction to  $C_p$  over an *adjustment interval* (AI), or AI clock seconds.
- Let  $adj_p^i$  be the cumulative adjustment to implement  $\hat{C}_p^i$  from  $C_p$
- Then  $adj_p^i adj_p^{i-1}$  is the additional, incremental amount of correction added during period *i*.
- The resulting "gradual" correction function is

### Effects of AI

- If  $AI \leq \hat{\kappa}$  then instantaneous resynchronization
- Else continuous resynchronization
- $FIX_p^i(T)$  is a linear interpolation of the adj. function, and is a step-function if clock is discontinuous



### • Definition of *adj*. function

- define a *convergence function* CF
- then

$$adj_{p}^{i+1} = \operatorname{CF}[p, \hat{C}_{1}^{i}(t_{p}^{i+1}), \dots, \hat{C}_{N}^{i}(t_{p}^{i+1})] - C_{p}(t_{p}^{i+1})$$

note that  $\hat{C}_{j}^{i}(t_{p}^{i+1})$  is the virtual time when processor *p* recognizes  $t_{RTS}^{i+1}$ 

- Thus function  $adj_p^{i+1}$  gives the amount that  $C_p(t_p^{i+1})$ differs from  $\hat{C}_p(t_p^{i+1})$
- Note that it is a function of other clock readings

Clock Synchronization Protocol

$$i=1;adj_p^0=adj_p^1=0$$

do forever

1) detect event generated at time  $t_{RTS}^{i+1}$ ;  $t_p^{i+1} = \text{real time now}$ 2)  $adj_p^{i+1} = CF[p, \hat{C}_1(t_p^{i+1}), \dots, \hat{C}_N(t_p^{i+1})] - C_p(t_p^{i+1})$ 3) calculate  $FIX_p^i(C_p(t))$  from  $adj_p^{i+1}$ 4) i = i + 1end

### Implementation Issues

- step 1: how to "detect event generated at time  $t_{RTS}^{i+1}$ "
- step 2: how does one processor read the virtual clocks at another processor
- step 3: what is a valid CF function

Detecting Resynchronization Events (step 1)

- detect event generated at time  $t_{RTS}^{i+1}$  by using our own approximately synchronized virtual clock
- count to some predefined value *R*, i.e. when  $\hat{C}_p^i = iR$ start next cycle
- can be done using timer etc.
- thus

 $t_p^{i+1}$  = time at which processor p starts its cycle

 $t_{RTS}^{i+1}$  = time at which *earliest* correct clock starts new cycle

- since clock advances with  $(1 \pm \hat{\rho})$  we get

$$r_{\min} = \frac{R}{1 + \hat{\rho}}$$
  $r_{\max} = \frac{R}{1 - \hat{\rho}}$ 

- recall from RTS1 that  $0 \le (t_p^i t_{RTS}^i) \le \beta$
- furthermore, recall from (2.3) that slowest clock lags fastest clock by at most  $\hat{\delta}$
- then the slowest clock must reach *iR* no later than  $\frac{\hat{\delta}}{1-\hat{\rho}}$
- thus

$$\beta = \frac{\hat{\delta}}{1 - \hat{\rho}}$$

### Reading other Clocks (step 2)

- Using Correction Table
  - » Processor p occasionally queries other processors, e.g. q
  - » Processor *q* responds with time stamped message
  - » Processor *p* maintains table  $\tau_p^i[1,...,N]$ where  $\tau_p^i[q]$  is used to approximate  $\hat{C}_q^i(t)$ i.e.

$$\tau_p^i[q] = C - (C_p(t_{now}) - \Gamma_{\min})$$

here C is  $\hat{C}_q(t_{reply})$ 

- $\Gamma_{\min}$  is the minimum propagation delay
- $\Gamma_{\max}$  is defined respectively
- » Is assuming the minimum propagation delay realistic?

- Clock Reading Error
  - » Let  $\lambda_p^i(q)$  be the error in p's approximation of  $\hat{C}_q^i(t)$
  - » Then, assuming A is the maximum clock reading error

$$\begin{split} \lambda_p^i(q) \leq \\ \Gamma_{\max} - \Gamma_{\min} + (\rho + \hat{\rho})(lread_p(q)) \leq A \end{split}$$

where  $lread_p(q)$  is the time since  $\tau_p^i[q]$  was logged, and A is the max clock reading error.

- » here  $\Gamma_{max} \Gamma_{min}$  is the dominating term w.r.t. reading error
- » therefore we focus on minimizing propagation and processing delays
- Note:
  - » using periodic queries reduces number of messages by half, but can result in significant higher  $\Gamma_{max} \Gamma_{min}$

### Convergence Functions (CF) (step 3)

- Multiset
  - » collection of objects similar in concept to a set
  - » different from set in that not all elements need to be distinct
  - » number of times a particular object (value) appears in a multiset is called the multiplicity of that object
- Convergence Function arguments are:
  - » processor evaluating CF
  - » values  $x_q$ ,  $1 \le q \le N$  of values from processor q

#### 1) Monotonicity of CF

- » given two multisets *X* and *Y* (monotonically non-decreasing)
- » if  $x_i \le y_i$ ,  $\forall i, 1 \le i \le N$  implies

$$CF(p, x_1, x_2, ..., x_N) \le CF(p, y_1, y_2, ..., y_N)$$

- 2) Translation Invariance
  - » relative values matter (and not absolute values)
  - » thus

$$CF(p, x_1 + v, ..., x_N + v) = CF(p, x_1, ..., x_N) + v$$

» this allows comparison of values computed by CF at different times, i.e. values of CF are not affected by shift in time.

### 3) Precision Enhancement Property

- » require convergence
  - Consider CF value of two processors *p* and *q* using at least *N-k* similar values. (*k* is the number of faulty elements).
  - CF value of p and q should be closer than  $x_p$  and  $x_q$  were.
- » property:

$$|CF(p, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N) - CF(q, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_N)| \le \pi(\delta, \varepsilon)$$

if

- all non-faulty  $x_i$  are within  $\delta$  from each other, i.e.  $\delta = \max |x_i x_j|$
- for corresponding  $y_i$ 's  $\delta = \max |y_i y_j|$ 
  - (recall that  $\delta$  is the max skew in reading of correct clocks)
- for each non-faulty pair  $|x_i y_i| \le \varepsilon$
- »  $\pi(\delta, \varepsilon)$  is called the *precision function*

 $\pi(\delta,\varepsilon) < \delta \Rightarrow \text{convergence}$ 

- 4) Accuracy Preservation Property
  - » this basically prevents big jumps
  - » property:

$$|CF(p, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N) - x_p| \le \alpha(\delta)$$

where  $\alpha(\delta)$  is called the *accuracy function* » if  $|adj_p^{i+1} - adj_p^i| \le \alpha(\delta)$ 

then the adjustment is bounded

- Egocentric Average:
  - » take the average of all values that are no more than  $\delta$  from  $x_p$
  - » note:
    - watch out, there are definitions of egocentric algorithms that replace all values not within the range with your own value.
- Example
  - »  $CF(p,x_1,x_2,...,x_n) = CF(2,4,5,3,4,6,7,11,6,22,4,3,5,5)$
  - » assume  $\delta = 3$ , here we have 13 values, 3k+1=N, thus k=4
  - » sorted multiset: {3, 3, 4, 4, 4, 5, 5, 5, 6, 6, 7, 11, 22}
  - » since  $x_2 = 5$  we have to consider all values in the range [2,8]
  - » CF = Ave(3, 3, 4, 4, 4, 5, 5, 5, 6, 6, 7) = 4.73

- Fast Convergence Algorithm:
  - » take average of all values that are within  $\delta$  from at least *N*-*k* values
    - So the question to ask for each value is: *is the "neighborhood" of the value large enough, i.e., N-k, to be included?*
  - » the degree k of fault tolerance is characterized by 3k+1=N,
  - $\approx \delta$  is the range of values
- Example
  - »  $CF(p,x_1,x_2,...,x_n) = CF(2,4,5,3,4,6,7,11,6,22,4)$
  - » assume  $\delta = k = 3$ , then N-k = 10-3 = 7
  - » sorted multiset: {3, 4, 4, 4, 5, 6, 6, 7, 11, 22}
  - » ask: "is value x within 3 from at least 7 other values?"
    - e.g., value 4 results in interval [1,7]. Since there are 8 values in the interval value [4-3,4+3] = [1,7] is included.
  - » CF = Ave(4, 4, 4, 5, 6, 6)

- Fault-tolerant Midpoint:
  - » reduce *k* highest and lowest values and average both extreme values
    - Midpoint: (max\_value + min\_value) / 2 (after reduction of k extremes)
    - note this is **not** the median value in the sorted array of values!!!!
- Example
  - »  $CF(p,x_1,x_2,...,x_n) = CF(2,4,5,3,4,6,7,11,6,22,4,3,5,5)$
  - » sorted multiset: {3, 3, 4, 4, 4, 5, 5, 5, 6, 6, 7, 11, 22}
  - » with k = 3 the reduced multiset is {4, 4, 5, 5, 5, 6, 6}
  - » CF = (4+6)/2 = 5

- Fault-tolerant Average:
  - » reduce *k* highest and lowest values and select average over all remaining
  - » more general: MSR
- Example
  - »  $CF(p,x_1,x_2,...,x_n) = CF(2,4,5,3,4,6,7,11,6,22,4,3,5,5)$
  - » sorted multiset: {3, 3, 4, 4, 4, 5, 5, 5, 6, 6, 7, 11, 22}
  - with k = 3 the reduced multiset is {4, 4, 5, 5, 5, 6, 6}
  - » CF = Ave(4, 4, 5, 5, 5, 6, 6) = 5