Information Redundancy

- Code, codeword, binary code
- Error detection, error correction
- Hamming distance:
 - number of bits in which two words differ
- Odd/even parity
 - the total number of 1s is odd/even
- Basic parity approaches
 - bit-per-word
 - bit-per-byte
 - bit-per-chip

- bit-per-multiple-chips
- interlaced parity

Error Detection/Correction

Let's look at an old principle to error correction

- Hamming Code
- any computer organization book will be a good reference
 - » e.g. William Stallings' *Computer Organization and Architecture*
- rely on check bits to identify whether bit has been changed
- identification of changed bit allows for correction



 $2^k - 1 \ge m + k$

m = data bits

k = parity bits

- Syndrome is derived from comparing, i.e. XOR, transmitted and received/recomputed check bits.
- Syndrome has following characteristics (previous example)
 - if syndrome contains all 0's
 - » no error has been detected
 - if syndrome contains one and only one bit set to 1
 - » error has occurred in one of the 4 check bits
 - if syndrome contains more than one bit set to 1
 - » numerical value of the syndrome indicates the position of the data-bit error
 - » this bit is then inverted for correction



Example

- data = 1110 0001
- compute check bits:

 $C1 = D1 \oplus D2 \oplus D4 \oplus D5 \oplus D7$ $C2 = D1 \oplus D3 \oplus D4 \oplus D6 \oplus D7$ $C3 = D2 \oplus D3 \oplus D4 \oplus D8$ $C4 = D5 \oplus D6 \oplus D7 \oplus D8$ $C1 = 1 \oplus 0 \oplus 0 \oplus 0 \oplus 1 = 0 \qquad \text{least significant bit}$ $C2 = 1 \oplus 0 \oplus 0 \oplus 1 \oplus 1 = 1$ $C3 = 0 \oplus 0 \oplus 0 \oplus 1 = 1$ $C4 = 0 \oplus 1 \oplus 1 \oplus 1 = 1 \qquad \text{most significant bit}$

- Example
 - data sent is 1110 0001 and transmitted check bits are 1110
 - assume received data is: 01100001
 - » note that most sig. bit has been corrupted/flipped
 - received check bits are: 1110
 - recomputed check bits:

 $C1 = 1 \oplus 0 \oplus 0 \oplus 0 \oplus 1 = 0$

- $C2 = 1 \oplus 0 \oplus 0 \oplus 1 \oplus 1 = 1$
- $C3 = 0 \oplus 0 \oplus 0 \oplus 0 = 0 = 0$
- $C4 = 0 \oplus 1 \oplus 1 \oplus 0 = 0$

- Syndrome: 1110 XOR 0010 = 1100

Applying Syndrome



Syndrome 1100 detects D8 as faulty

m-of-n codes

- All code words are *n* bits in length and contain exactly *m* 1s
- Simple implementation:
 - add/append second data word
 - select word such that code word contains m 1s
 - code is separable
 - 100% overhead
- Hamming distance is 2
 - e.g. 1st error sets bit, 2nd error resets other bit

Checksum

- Separable code to achieve error detection capability
- Checksum is the sum of the original data
- Single-precision checksum
 - overflow problem, i.e. adding n bits modulo 2^n
- Double-precision checksum
 - uses double precision, i.e. compute 2n-bit checksum from n-bit words using modulo-2²ⁿ arithmetic.
- Honeywell checksum
 - compose word of double length by concatenating 2 consecutive words
 - compute checksum on these double words
- Residue checksum
 - like single-precision checksum, but overflow is now fed back as carry

- Cyclic Redundancy Checks (CRC)
 - Parity bits still subject to burst noise, uses large overhead (potentially) for improvement of 2-4 orders of magnitude in probability of detection.
 - CRC is based on a mathematical calculation performed on message. We will use the following terms:
 - » M message to be sent (k bits)
 - » *F* Frame check sequence (FCS) to be appended to message (*n* bits)
 - » *T* Transmitted message includes both *M* and *F* =>(k+n bits)
 - » G a n+1 bit pattern (called generator) used to calculate F and check T

Page: 11

- Idea behind CRC
 - given a k-bit frame (message)
 - transmitter generates a *n*-bit sequence called frame check sequence (FCS)
 - so that resulting frame of size *k*+*n* is exactly divisible by some predetermined number
- Multiply M by 2ⁿ to shift and add F to padded 0s

$$T = 2^n M + F$$

Cyclic codes

• Dividing $2^n M$ by G gives quotient and remainder

$$\frac{2^n M}{G} = Q + \frac{R}{G}$$

remainder is 1 bit less than divisor

then using R as our FCS we get

 $T = 2^n M + R$

on the receiving end, division by G leads to

Note: mod 2 addition, no remainder

 $\frac{T}{G} = \frac{2^n M + R}{G} = Q + \frac{R}{G} + \frac{R}{G} = Q$

Cyclic codes

- Therefore, if the remainder of dividing the incoming signal by the generator G is zero, no transmission error occurred.
- Assume T + E was received (Note: E is the error)

$$\frac{T+E}{G} = \frac{T}{G} + \frac{E}{G}$$

since T/G does not produce a remainder, an error is detected only if E/G produces a non-zero value

 \diamond example, assume generator G(X) has at least 3 terms

- G(x) has three 1-bits
 - » detects all single bit errors
 - » detects all double bit errors
 - » detects odd #'s of errors if G(X) contains the factor (X + 1)
 - » any burst errors < length of FCS
 - » most larger burst errors
 - » it has been shown that if all error patterns likely, then the likelihood of a long burst not being detected is $1/2^n$

- What does all of this mean?
 - A polynomial view:
 - » View CRC process with all values expressed as polynomials in a dummy variable X with binary coefficients, where the coefficients correspond to the bits in the number.
 - for M = 110011 we get $M(X) = X^5 + X^4 + X + 1$
 - for G = 11001 we get G(X) = X⁴ + X³ + 1
 Math is still mod 2
 - » An error E(X) is received and **undetected** iff it is divisible by *G*(X)

Cyclic codes

Common CRCs

- $CRC-12 = X^{12} + X^{11} + X^3 + X^2 + X + 1$
- $CRC-16 = X^{16} + X^{15} + X^2 + 1$
- $CRC-CCITT = X^{16} + X^{12} + X^5 + 1$
- $CRC-32 = X^{32} + X^{26} + X^{23} + X^{22} + X^{16} + X^{12} + X^{11} + X^{10} + X^8 + X^7 + X^5 + X^4 + X^2 + X + 1$

Hardware Implementation:

